Financial Statements

EAST SEVIER COUNTY UTILITY DISTRICT

Year Ended June 30, 2012

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page Nos.
INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' AUDIT REPORT	1-2
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS	3-5
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
Statement of Net Assets	6
Statement of Revenue, Expenses and Change in Net Assets	7-8
Statement of Cash Flows	9-10
Notes to the Financial Statements	11-16
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	
Insurance Coverage	17
Customers and Utility Rates	18
Water Utility Reporting Worksheet	19
Board of Commissioners	20
INTERNAL CONTROL AND COMPLIANCE	
Independent Accountants' Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements	
Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	21-22
Finding, Recommendation and Management Response	23

MEH MITCHELL EMERT & HILL, P.C. CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS AND CONSULTANTS

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' AUDIT REPORT

Board of Commissioners East Sevier County Utility District Sevierville, Tennessee

We have audited the accompanying statement of net assets of East Sevier County Utility District as of June 30, 2012, and the related statement of revenue, expenses, and change in net assets and statement of cash flows for the year then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the organization's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

East Sevier County Utility District has excluded from plant in service in the accompanying statement of net assets certain assets that were donated by homeowner associations related to agreements for operating and maintaining their sewer system. The effects of the omitted plant in service are not reasonably determinable.

In our opinion, except for the effects of the matter described above, the financial statements referred to in the first paragraph present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of East Sevier County Utility District as of June 30, 2012, and the changes in its financial position and its cash flows for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Management's discussion and analysis on pages 3 to 5 is not a required part of the basic financial statements but is supplementary information required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. We have applied certain limited procedures, which consisted principally of inquiries of management regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of the required supplementary information. However, we did not audit this information and express no opinion thereon.

Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements that collectively comprise East Sevier County Utility District's basic financial statements. The accompanying financial information listed as supplementary information in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements. Such information has not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated August 23, 2013 on our consideration of East Sevier County Utility District's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.

Mitchell Emert + Hill

August 23, 2013

EAST SEVIER COUNTY UTILITY DISTRICT 1529 Alpine Drive Sevierville, TN 37876 865-453-6704

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

As management of the East Sevier County Utility District, we offer readers of the District's financial statements this narrative overview and analysis of the District's performance during the fiscal year ending June 30, 2012. Please read it in conjunction with the District's financial statements, which follow this section.

OVERVIEW OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

This annual report includes this management's discussion and analysis report, the independent auditors report and the basic financial statements of the District. The financial statements also include notes that explain in more detail some of the information in the financial statements.

REQUIRED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements of the District report information using accounting methods similar to those used by private companies. These statements offer both short-term and long-term financial information about its activities. The Statement of Net Assets includes all of the District's assets and liabilities and provides information about where the District has invested its resources (assets) and the obligations to the District's credit (liabilities).

All of the current year's revenue and expenses are accounted for in the Statement of Revenue, Expenses and Change in Net Assets. This statement measures the success of the District's operations over the past year and can be used to determine whether the District has successfully recovered all of its expenses through customer fees.

The final required financial statement is the Statement of Cash Flows. The statement reports cash receipts, cash payments, and net changes in cash resulting from operations, investing, and financing activities and provides answers to such questions as where did cash come from, what was cash used for, and what was the change in the cash balances during the reporting period.

FINANCIAL ANALYSIS OF THE DISTRICT

One of the most important questions asked about the District's finances is "Is the District better off or worse off as a result of this year's activities?" The Statement of Net Assets and the Statement of Revenue, Expenses and Change in Net Assets report information about the District's activities in a way that will help answer this question. The statements report the difference between assets and liabilities as one way to measure financial health or financial position. Over time, increases or decreases in the District's net assets are one indicator of whether its financial health is improving or deteriorating. A summary of the District's net assets and change in them is presented below:

SUMMARIZED FINANCIAL INFORMATION

	2012	2011
NET ASSETS		
Current assets	\$ 222,593	\$ 151,484
Capital assets	629,922	661,154
Total assets	<u>\$ 852,515</u>	<u>\$ 812,638</u>
Current liabilities	\$ 83,354	\$ 62,103
Long term liabilities	<u>30,023</u>	42,393
Total liabilities	<u>113,377</u>	104,496
Net assets:	587,517	606,936
Invested in capital assets, net of related debt	<u>151,621</u>	101,206
Unrestricted	739,138	708,142
Total liabilities and net assets	<u>\$ 852,515</u>	<u>\$ 812,638</u>
CHANGE IN NET ASSETS		
Operating revenue	\$ 499,067	\$ 406,469
Operating expenses	<u>467,658</u>	<u>373,506</u>
Operating income	31,409	32,964
Nonoperating income	1,834	1,614
Nonoperating expenses	(2,247)	(25,058)
Change in net assets	30,996	9,520
Beginning net assets		<u>698,623</u>
Ending net assets	<u>\$ 739.138</u>	<u>\$ 708,142</u>

ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL POSITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

As can be seen from the summarized financial information, the District's net assets increased by \$30,996 during the year ended June 30, 2012 and increased \$9,520 during the year ended June 30, 2011. Operating revenue increased at a rate of 22.8% (increased by 7.7% in 2010) while expenses increased at a rate of 25.2% (increased by 7% in 2011). Operating revenue increased primarily due to the receipt of tap fees from the Douglas Lake Resort Owners Association, Inc. Operating expenses increased primarily due to increased salary and maintenance expenses.

THE DISTRICT'S NET ASSETS

The District completed the year with net assets of \$739,138 which is \$30,996 more than the prior year's ending net assets of \$708,142.

BUDGETARY HIGHLIGHTS

The District adopts an annual operating budget, which includes proposed expenses and the means for paying these expenses. As conditions change during the year, the budget may be amended to prevent budget overruns.

CAPITAL ASSETS

The District's investment in capital assets amounts to \$1,004,686 with accumulated depreciation of \$374,765. Capital assets include land, distribution and collection systems, and equipment. During the year ended June 30, 2012 the District had capital asset additions totaling \$5,420.

ECONOMIC FACTORS AND FUTURE NEEDS

The District continued to add new customers during the year ended June 30, 2012. In addition to water and sewer service provided to the English Mountain subdivision including The Preserve and Douglas Lake Resort subdivision, sewer service is provided to the Sherwood Forest, Bear Creek Crossing, Smoky Mountain Ridge, Lashbrooke Bouldercrest Villas, Parkside Resort, and Douglas Lake Resort subdivisions. In September 2012 the District terminated providing water service to the Douglas Lake Resort (approximately 129 customers).

CONTACTING THE DISTRICT'S FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

The discussion and analysis is designed to provide our customers with a general view of the District's finances and to show the District's accountability for the money it receives. If you have any questions or need additional information, contact the following commissioners at the address on the letterhead.

Roy Ivey Barbara Darby Tony Ortiz

STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS

June 30, 2012

ASSETS

PLANT	IN	SERVICE	
Lond			

			\$	852,515
TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS				222,593
Deposits		15,820		
accounts of \$35,000		56,433		
Prepaid insurance Accounts receivable, net of allowance for uncollectible		2,274		
Certificates of deposit		·		
	φ	140,922		
CURRENT ASSETS Cash	\$	7,144		
Accumulated depreciation				(374,765) 629,922
			1	,004,686
Equipment				117,030
Distribution and collection system				808,035
Building				65,096
Land			\$	14,525

See the accompanying notes to the financial statements.

LIABILITIES AND NET ASSETS

LONG-TERM DEBT, net of current portion		\$ 30,023
CURRENT LIABILITIES		
Accounts payable	\$ 23,817	
Payroll taxes payable	2,563	
Accrued wages	2,125	
Deferred revenue	8,982	
Customer deposits	33,485	
Current portion of long-term debt	 12,382	
TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES		 83,354
TOTAL LIABILITIES		113,377
NET ASSETS		
Invested in capital assets, net of related debt	587,517	
Unrestricted	 151,621	 739,138
		\$ 852,515

STATEMENT OF REVENUE, EXPENSES AND CHANGE IN NET ASSETS

Year Ended June 30, 2012

OPERATING REVENUE			
Water sales			\$ 135,251
Sewer services			243,175
Tap fees			108,440
Contractual fees			2,800
Penalties and interest			9,401
	TOTAL OPERATING REVENUE		499,067
OPERATING EXPENSES			
Salaries		\$ 153,250	
Payroll taxes		11,817	
Health insurance		8,400	
Uniforms		2,095	
Plant utilities		44,247	
Repairs and maintenance		112,544	
Depreciation		36,652	
Vehicle expense		32,423	
Mileage expense		1,672	
Insurance		24,391	
Permits		4,140	
Telephone		6,359	
Office supplies		2,556	
Postage		2,067	
Legal fees		1,942	
Audit expense		6,025	
Professional fees		4,953	
Commissioner fees		6,700	
Miscellaneous		5,425	
	TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES		467,658
	INCOME FROM OPERATIONS		31,409

STATEMENT OF REVENUE, EXPENSES AND CHANGE IN NET ASSETS

(continued)

Year Ended June 30, 2012

NONOPERATING REVENUE(EXPENSE)		
Interest income	1,834	
Interest expense	(2,247)	(413)
CHANGE IN NET ASSETS		30,996
NET ASSETS AT THE BEGINNING OF THE YEAR		708,143
NET ASSETS AT THE END OF THE YEAR		<u>\$ 739,138</u>

See the accompanying notes to the financial statements

STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

Year Ended June 30, 2012

CASH PROVIDED(USED) BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Cash received from customers		\$ 497,069
Cash paid to employees		(159,950)
Cash paid to suppliers		(255,204)
NET CASH PROVIDED BY		
OPERATING ACTIVITIES		81,915
CASH PROVIDED(USED) BY CAPITAL AND RELATED		
FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Acquisition of capital assets	\$ (5,420)	
Principal payments on long-term debt	(11,813)	
Interest paid	(2,247)	
NET CASH (USED) BY CAPITAL AND		
RELATED FINANCING ACTIVITIES		(19,480)
CASH PROVIDED(USED) BY		
INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Interest received	1,834	
Increase in certificate of deposit	(76,745)	
NET CASH (USED) BY		
INVESTING ACTIVITIES		(74,912)
NET (DECREASE) IN CASH		(12,477)
CASH AT THE BEGINNING OF THE YEAR		19,622
CASH AT THE END OF THE YEAR		<u>\$ 7,144</u>

STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

(continued)

Year Ended June 30, 2012

RECONCILIATION OF INCOME FROM OPERATIONS TO NET CASH PROVIDED(USED) BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES

Income from operations		\$ 31,409
Adjustments to reconcile income from operations		
to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation	\$ 36,652	
(Increase)decrease in:		
Accounts receivable	(1,998)	
Prepaid insurance	1,853	
Deposits	(6,695)	
Increase(decrease) in:		
Accounts payable	20,352	
Payroll taxes payable	(22)	
Accrued wages	(91)	
Deferred revenue	140	
Customer deposits	 315	 50,506
NET CASH PROVIDED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES		\$ 81,915

See the accompanying notes to the financial statements.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

June 30, 2012

NOTE A - DESCRIPTION OF ORGANIZATION

East Sevier County Utility District (the District) was created under the authority of Title 7, Chapter 82 of the 1937 Utility District Law of the State of Tennessee. The Board of Commissioners is appointed by the Sevier County Mayor for a term of four years. Sevier County does not have any fiscal or budgetary control over the District. Operations are funded by water and sewer rates established by the Board of Commissioners.

NOTE B - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Financial Reporting

The District follows all pronouncements of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) and only pronouncements of the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued on or before November 30, 1989. The District has not elected to follow FASB pronouncements issued after November 30, 1989.

The District uses the "economic resources" measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Accordingly, all assets and liabilities (whether current or noncurrent) are included in the statement of net assets. The statement of revenue, expenses and change in net assets presents increases (revenue) and decreases (expenses) in total net assets. Under the accrual basis of accounting, revenue is recognized in the period in which it is earned while expenses are recognized in the period in which the liability is incurred. Revenue is recorded when billed to the customers, based on a monthly meter reading cycle.

The District distinguishes operating revenue and expenses from nonoperating items. Operating revenue for proprietary funds is revenue that is generated from the primary operations of the District. The principal operating revenue of the District is charges to customers for water sales and sewer services. The District also recognizes as operating revenue the portion of connection fees intended to recover the cost of connecting new customers to the system. Revenue from sewer only customers is recognized in the month service is provided. Sewer only customers are billed a flat rate one month in advance which is reported as deferred revenue. All other revenue is reported as nonoperating revenue. Operating expenses for proprietary funds include the cost of sales and services, administrative expenses, and depreciation on capital assets. All other expenses are reported as nonoperating expenses.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(continued)

June 30, 2012

The District prepares its financial statements in accordance with the Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 34, *Basic Financial Statements – and Management's Discussion and Analysis - for State and Local Governments*. GASB Statement No. 34 establishes standards for external financial reporting for state and local governments and requires that resources be classified for accounting reporting purposes into the following three net assets groups:

Invested in capital assets, net of related debt

This category includes capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation and outstanding principal balances of debt attributable to the acquisition, construction, or improvement of those assets. Invested in capital assets, net of related debt at June 30, 2012 has been calculated as follows:

Capital assets	\$ 1,004,686
Accumulated depreciation	(374,765)
Principal balance on long-term debt	<u>(42,405</u>)
	<u>\$ 587,517</u>

Restricted

This category includes net assets whose use is subject to externally imposed stipulations that can be fulfilled by actions of the District pursuant to those stipulations or that expire by the passage of time. Restricted net assets of the District consist of funds restricted for debt payments and future construction. When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, it is the District's policy to use restricted resources first, then unrestricted resources as they are needed. The District had no restricted net assets at June 30, 2012.

Unrestricted

This category includes net assets that are not subject to externally imposed stipulations and that do not meet the definition of "restricted" or "invested in capital assets, net of related debt". Unrestricted net assets may be designated for specific purposes by action of the Board of Commissioners or may otherwise be limited by contractual agreement with outside parties.

Prepaid Expenses

Payments made for insurance premiums that will benefit periods beyond June 30, 2012 are recorded as prepaid expenses.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(continued)

June 30, 2012

Plant in Service

Plant in service is recorded at cost. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets, which range from 7 to 50 years.

Allowance for Uncollectible Accounts

Allowance for uncollectible accounts is provided based upon historical trends. Allowance for uncollectible accounts at June 30, 2012 was \$35,000.

NOTE C - CASH

Cash represents money on deposit in various banks.

State of Tennessee law authorizes the District to invest in obligations of the United States or its agencies, nonconvertible debt securities of certain federal agencies, other obligations guaranteed as to principal and interest by the United States or any of its agencies, secured certificates of deposit and other evidences of deposit in state and federal banks and savings and loan associations, and the State of Tennessee Local Government Investment Pool.

All deposits with financial institutions in excess of Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) limits are required to be secured by one of two methods. Excess funds can be deposited with a financial institution that participates in the State of Tennessee Bank Collateral Pool. For deposits with financial institutions that do not participate in the State of Tennessee Bank Collateral Pool, state statutes require that all deposits be collateralized with collateral whose market value is equal to 105 percent of the uninsured amount of the deposits.

All cash balances at June 30, 2012 were entirely insured through the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(continued)

June 30, 2012

NOTE D - PLANT IN SERVICE

Plant in service activity for the year ended June 30, 2012 was as follows:

	Balance 7/1/11	Additions	<u>Retirements</u>	Balance 6/30/12
Capital assets not being depreciated Land	\$ 14,525	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 14,525
<u>Capital assets being depreciated</u> Building Distribution and collection system Equipment Office equipment	65,096 802,616 100,983 <u>16,048</u> 984,742	$ \begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 5,420 \\ 0 \\ \underline{} \\ 5,420 \end{array} $	0 0 0 0 0	65,096 808,035 100,983 <u>16,048</u> 990,162
Accumulated depreciation Building Distribution and collection system Machinery and equipment Office equipment	$(1,512) \\ (254,616) \\ (71,901) \\ (10,082) \\ (338,112) \\ \\ \underline{\$ \ 661,155}$	$(2,169) \\ (27,670) \\ (4,069) \\ (2,742) \\ (36,652) \\ \\ \\ \hline \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ $	0 0 0 0 0 \$ 0	$(3,682) \\ (282,290) \\ (75,970) \\ (12,823) \\ (374,765) \\ \\ \$ 629,923$

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(continued)

June 30, 2012

NOTE E - LONG-TERM DEBT

Long-term debt at June 30, 2012 consisted of the following:

Note payable, due in monthly installments	
of \$1,172 including interest at 4.5% per annum,	
balance due in full on September 9, 2015 secured	
by certificate of deposit.	\$ 42,405
Less current maturities	(12,382)
	<u>\$ 30,023</u>

Future maturities of long-term debt as of June 30, 2012 are as follows:

Year ending June 30,		<u>P</u>	rincipal	 Interest	 Totals
2013 2014		\$	12,382 12,959	\$ 1,678 1,101	\$ 14,060 14,060
2015			13,563	497	14,060
2016			3,501	 27	 3,528
	 	<u>\$</u>	42,405	\$ 3,303	\$ 45,708

Changes in long-term debt were as follows:

		Balance 7/1/11	Is	sued		Paid		alance /30/12
Note payable	<u>\$</u>	54,218	\$	0	<u>\$</u>	11,813	<u>\$</u>	42,405

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(continued)

June 30, 2012

NOTE F - RISK MANAGEMENT

The District is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; injuries to employees; and natural disasters. The District carries commercial insurance for all risks of loss, including general liability, workers' compensation coverage, and employee dishonesty bonds. Settled claims resulting from these risks have not exceeded commercial insurance coverage in any of the past three fiscal years.

NOTE G - SUBSEQUENT EVENT

On November 1, 2011, the District took on 129 new customers from the Douglas Lake Resort Owners Association, Inc. The District received a one-time payment of \$750 in tap fees from each new customer and monthly fees for water and sewer service. Subsequent to June 30, 2012, the District terminated its agreement to provide water service to these customers.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

INSURANCE COVERAGE

June 30, 2012

Description	Insurer	Expiration Date	Amount of <u>Coverage</u>
Property, equipment, office contents, and other assets	Selective Insurance Company	2/12/13	\$ 3,574,604
Automobile liability and physical damage	Selective Insurance Company	2/12/13	1,000,000
General liability	Selective Insurance Company	2/12/13	2,000,000
Employee dishonesty	Selective Insurance Company	2/12/13	10,000
Public officials liability	Mt. Vernon Fire Insurance Company	5/13/13	1,000,000
Workers compensation	Selective Insurance Company	2/12/13	500,000

See the accompanying independent accountants' audit report.

CUSTOMERS AND UTILITY RATES

June 30, 2012

Tap Fees:

English Mountain subdivision:	
Water	\$ 750.00
Sewer	1,250.00
Other subdivisions, where applicable:	
Sewer	750.00
Utility Rates:	
Water:	
Residential - first 3,000 gallons	26.75
Commercial - first 3,000 gallons	46.81
Commercial - over 3,000 gallons	187.22
Douglas Lake Resort – flat rate	25.00
Sewer:	
English Mountain subdivision:	
Residential - first 3,000 gallons	31.18
Commercial - first 3,000 gallons	54.56
Commercial - over 3,000 gallons	218.23
Other subdivisions - unmetered service	35.00
Number of customers	610
Number of customers	610

See the accompanying independent accountants' audit report.

Description Description Description Image: Control of the state in the state	AWWA WLCC Free Water Audit S			ng Worksheet	Back to Instructions			
Not n	Copyright © 2010, American Water Works As	ssociation. All	Rights Reserved.	WAS v4.2				
between bound and and and and and and and and and a	Click to access deminition			ct				
He by being under genering under the output of the first output of				uailable please estimate a value. Indicate	your confidence in the accuracy of			
NUMB OVERLINE VERTURE VERSION VALUER REFERENCE VERSION PROVIDED VERSION PROVIDED MARGE REFERENCE VERSION PROVIDED VERSION PROVIDED MARGE REFERENCE VERSION PROVIDED VERSION PROVIDED MARGE REFERENCE VERSION PROVID MARGE RE								
Value for on source is a source is	All volum	es to be er	ntered as: MILLION GAL	LONS (US) PER YEAR				
Netse netse erere adjustmen (akce politics within i beneficial and a solution in the set of a constraint of a								
Note: imported in the second intervent interv								
NUME POPULIE 0.4.40 NUME ATTEMPTICE IIII de marcheli IIII de marcheli IIII de marcheli IIIII de marcheli IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	Water imported:	? n/	a 0.000	MG/Yr				
ATTICHENE CONSISTION BELLEG SECOND BELLEG SE		? n/						
Minimum Minimum Miliad material Miliad material <			30.469	MG/Yr				
Billed unsetered 1 2.52 Norw Unsetered 1 2.62 Norw Norw Automite consensution 2.03 Norw Norw Norw National consense 2.53 Norw Norw Norw Norw National consense 2.53 Norw Norw Norw Norw Norw National contract norw Norw 2.23 Norw Norw Norw Norw National contract norw Norw 2.23 Norw Norw Norw Norw Nation contract norw		? 6	5 984	MG / Vr	Onoix Here.			
Ubblied unsetered: 1 0.000 mixture ATTROTIED CONSTRUCTION: 0.000 mixture 0.000 mixture ATTROTIED CONSTRUCTION: 0.000 mixture 0.000 mixture ADDECISE: 0.000 mixture 0.000 mixture ADDECISE: 0.000 mixture 0.000 mixture ADDECISE: 0.000 mixture 0.000 mixture Outcome meteric inscreption: 0.000 mixture 0.000 mixture Optimize data handling arrange 0.000 mixture 0.000 mixture Optimize data handling arrange 0.000 mixture 0.000 mixture Optimize data handling arrange 0.000 mixture 0.000 mixture Rel Losses - Note: 0.000 mixture 0.000 mixture Rel Losses - Note: 0.000 mixture 0.000 mixture Market Dobes: 0	Billed unmetered:		2.763	MG/Yr	buttons below			
ANTIONELED CONSIDERTION 9.438 Norm NOTER LOOSEN (Water Purplied - Authorized Consumption) 21.043 Norm Defail option entering incorporation 21.043 Norm Apparent Loceses 21.043 Norm Defail option entering incorporation 21.043 Norm Norm 21.043 Norm Norm Defail option entering incorporation 21.043 Norm <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>								
NUMBER DURING LONG OF LINE (1) 0,000			0.075					
ATER LODEE (Nate: Supplied - Authorized Commention) 2.1.43 D/r Yes Apparent Losses 0.0000 0.0000 Yes Yes Default option selected for unauthorized commention a grading of 5 is applied has not displayed 0.0000 Yes Yes Current Amual Real Losses 0.0000 0.0000 Yes Yes Yes Real Losses - Water Conserve Terming in annumber of 5 is applied has not displayed 0.0000 Yes Yes Yes Real Losses - Water Conserve Terming in annumber of 5 is applied has not displayed 0.0000 Yes Ye	AUTHORIZED CONSUMPTION:	?	9.426	MG/Yr				
NUME LOSSES (Meeter Supplied - Authorized Consumption) 21,043 N/T					OR			
Unauthorized consequence as produced 51 and 2007 work 2.2 20 more Default option metering insocurations: 2.2 2007 work 0.0 0 more Systematic data handling errors: 2.2 2007 work 0.0 0 more Apparent Losses: 2.2 2007 work 0.0 0 more Real Losses (Current Annal Real Losses or CADL) 10.0 1 more 0.0 0 more Real Losses (Current Annal Real Losses or CADL) 10.0 1 more 0.0 more Real Losses (Current Annal Real Losses or CADL) 10.0 1 more 0.0 more Real Losses (Current Annal Real Losses or CADL) 10.0 1 more 0.0 more Non-REVENDENT NATE: 2.0 200 more 0.0 more - Total Mater Losses - Apparent Losses: 10.0 0 more 0.0 more - Total Mater Losses - More of an annal Losses or CADL) 10.0 0 more 0.0 more - Total annual Loss of operating pressure: 10.0 0 more 0.0 0 more 0.0 0 more Corr DATA 10.0 0 more 10.0 0 more 0.0 0 more 0.0 0 more Corr MAN Total annual Loss of operating pressure: 10.0 0 more 0.0 0 more 0.0 0 more Corr DATA Total annual Loss of operating pressure: 10.0 0 more 0.0 0 more 0.0 0 more	WATER LOSSES (Water Supplied - Authorized Consumption	n)	21.043	MG/Yr				
Default option scienced for unseturing occurrenties () 0 0 00.05 0.07								
Outcome setering insorurceles: 1 0.0665 Myr. Systemic data hamiling errors: 2.2373 Myr. 0 0 Apparent Lesses: 2.351 0 <		ion - a d		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Systematic data handling errors:								
Apprent Losses:								
Correction Annual Fast Losses - CAND. Image: Correct Annual Fast Losses - CAND. Real Losses = Water Losses - Apparent Losses: Image: Correction Fast - CAND. Number of active Anno Interfue Name: Image: Correction Fast - CAND. Number of active Anno Interfue Name: Image: Correction Fast - CAND. Number of active Anno Interfue Name: Image: Correction Fast - CAND. Number of active Anno Interfue Service Longerty Image: Correction Fast - CAND. Number of active Anno Interfue Service Longerty Image: Correction Fast - CAND. Average operating pressure: Image: Correction Fast - CAND. Average operating water system: Image: Correction Fast - CAND. Corr DAX Image: Correction Fast - CAND. Autoes: retail unit cost (septets to Rest Losses): Image: Cost - CAND. Variabe production cost (septets to Rest Losses): Image: Cost - CAND. Manal cost of Basi Losses (SID). Image: Cost - CAND. Manal cost of Basi Losses (SID). Image: Cost - CAND. Manal cost of Basi Losses (SID). Image: Cost - CAND. Manal cost of Basi Losses (CAND.) Image: Cost - CAND. Manal cost of Basi Losses (CAND.) Image: Cost - CAND. Manal cost of Basi Losses (CAND.) Image: Cost - CAND.	Innovant 7	2	2 510		enter a percentage of			
Real Losses + Mater Losses - Apparent Losses: 18.533 Mu/rr NATER Losses - 21.00 MU/rr NUTE Losses - 21.00 MU/rr NO-ENVIRON MARE 21.722 MU/r - Total Mater Loss + Unbild Metered - Unbild Metered - Connection density: 21.722 MU/r Series - Multi-Loss + Unbild Metered - Unbild Metered - Connection density: 21.000 miles Connection density: 21.01.000 miles Connection density:	Apparent Losses.	<u> </u>	2.510					
NATER LOSSES: 21.04 N/Y NON-REVENUE NATE: 21.722 N/Y * Total Keter Loss + Unbilled Metered * Unbilled Unsetered 21.722 N/Y * Total Keter Loss + Unbilled Metered * Unbilled Unsetered 1 1 0.0 ft Window of active AND Inactive service one-one-one-one-one-one-one-one-one-one-		2	10 533	·	NOT a default value			
NON-EXTNUE NATE: - Total Water Loss - Obbilled Metered - mbilled Meetered STYTEN DATA Length of mains: - Total Water Loss - Obbilled Metered - mbilled Meetered STYTEN DATA Length of mains: - Total Meter Loss - Obbilled Metered - mbilled Meetered Connection density: - Average operating pressure: - Total annual cost of operating water system: - Total annual cost of operating water system: 								
NON-REVENUE VARIES 21.722 M/Tz FOLL Marker 4 - UNDILED Theorem STERN DATA Number of active AND Inactive revice connections: 1 7 2000 Number of active AND Inactive revice indensitie: 1 7 2000 Number of active AND Inactive revice indensitie: 1 1 0.00 Numer of active AND Inactive revice indensitie: 1 1 0.00 Numer of active AND Inactive revice indensitie: 1 0 0.00 Numer of active AND Inactive revice indensitie: 1 0 0.00 Numer of operating water system: 1 1 0.00 pill COST DATA 1 1 1 0.00 pill Cutteres retain with cost (applied to Real Losses): 1 1 0.00 pillines Variable production cost (applied to Real Losses): 1 0 0.00 pillines Non-revenue water as percent by volue of Kater Supplied: 71.43 Non-revenue water as percent by could of operating system 0.00 Non-revenue water as percent by could of perating rystem 20.51 pallow/connection/day Real Losses per service connection per day:			21.043	MG/Yr				
<pre>- Total Nature Loss + Unbilled Metered + Unbilled Unsettered 9757EM DATA Length of mains: 3 3 50.0 miles Number of active AND inactive service inner: 7 2 227 Connection density: Average length of customer service line: 7 2 227 Connection density: Average operating pressure: 3 0 00.0 pri COST DATA Control of operating water system: 1 2 0 0176,616 S/Year Customer retail unit cost (spplied to Apprent Losses) Customer retail unit cost (spplied to Real Losses) Customer value as percent by volue of Nater Losses I 0 1 0.0 F 1 1.1 0.0 F 1 1.1</pre>		?	21,722	MG/Yr				
Length of mains: 1 50:0 Number of active ADD inactive service connections 1 7 Common information 1 1 0:0 Average length of customer service lines 1 0:0 0:0 Average operating pressure: 2 3 0:0 OCT DATA Control (applied to Agarant Losses): Customer retail unit cost (applied to Agarant Losses): 2 3 0:1/26.056 Strain 5 0:1/26.056 5/1000 gallone (US) Variable production cost (applied to Agarant Losses): 3 0:1/26.056 Strain 0:1/26.056 5/1000 gallone (US) Variable production cost (applied to Agarant Losses): 3 0:1/26.056 Financial Indicators Non-revenue water as percent by volume of Water Supplied: 71.33 Non-revenue water as percent by cost of operating system: 0:1/27.056 Annual cost of Real Losses 0:1/27.056 Financial Indicators Annual cost of Agarant Losses: Non-revenue water as percent by cost of operating system: 0:1/27.056 Annual cost of Real Losses 0:1/27.056 Annual cost of Generation per day: 1.015.55 Annual cost of Partice (applied to adarant day) Real Losses per service connection per day: Aparent Losses per service connection per day: Aparent Losses preservice connection per day: Annual cost of aperating the system: Product connect service connection per day: Infractivucture Leakage Index (ILI) (CAEL/UAEL):								
Number of artive ADD intenting service connection density: 2 207 Nergag length of customer service line: 1 3 0.0 et (the length between numbers) and customer service line: Nergag length of customer service line: 3 0.0.0 et (the length between numbers) and customer service line: Nergag length of customer service line: 3 0.0.0 et (the length between numbers) and customer service: OCT DATA Total annual cost of operating water system: 3 0 0.0 grammer grammer Customer retail unit cost (applied to Represent Losses): 0 0 0.0 grammer grammer grammer PERCONNEX INDICATORS Innual cost of operating system: 52.54 grammer grammer grammer Mon-revenue water as percent by colume of Akter Supplied: 71.34 Sr.54 grammer grammer Mon-revenue water as percent by colum of operating system: S2.54 S2.54 grammer grammer Mon-revenue water as percent by colum of perating system: S2.54 S2.54 grammer grammer Mon-revenue water as percent by colum of perating system: S2.54 grammer grammer grammer grammer Mone set losses per service connection per day:								
Connection density: Average length of customer service line: 10 0.0 ft if is and it is a customer service line: Average operating pressure: 10 0.0 ft if is a customer service line: COST DATA Customer retail unit cost of operating water system: 1 1 0.0 gt/act Customer retail unit cost (applied to keap next busces): 1 0.176,635 gt/act Customer retail unit cost (applied to keal tosses): 1 0.176,635 gt/act Customer retail unit cost (applied to keal tosses): 1 0.176,635 gt/act Customer retail unit cost (applied to keal tosses): 1 0.176,635 gt/act PEFORMANCE INDICATORS 1 0.178,735 gt/act gt/act Mon-revenue water as percent by colue of Water Supplied: 71.33 gt/act gt/act Mon-revenue water as percent by colue of Water Supplied: 71.33 gt/act gt/act Mon-revenue water as percent by colue connection per day: 0.105,53 gallons/connection/day Mon-revenue water as percent by colue connection per day: 0.105,53 gallons/connection/day Real Losses per service connection per day: 0.105,53				miles				
Average operating pressure: a b c <lic< li=""> c c <l< td=""><td>Connection density:</td><td></td><td>5</td><td></td><td></td></l<></lic<>	Connection density:		5					
COST DATA Total annual cost of operating water system: Customer retail unit cost (applied to Apparent Losses): Variable production cost (applied to Real Losses): PEPOGRANCE INDICATORS Financial Indicators Non-revenue water as percent by volume of Water Supplied: Non-revenue water as percent by cost of operating system: Sol.54 Annual cost of Apparent Losses: Sol.54 Annual cost of Apparent Losses: Sol.54 Annual cost of Real Losses: Sol.55 Sol.64 Annual Cost of Real Losses: Sol.64 Annual Real Losse: Sol.64 Annual Real Losse: Sol.64 Annual Real Losse: Sol.64 Annual Real Losse: Sol.64 Annual Real Losse: Annual Real Losse: Sol.64 Annual Real Losse: Sol.64 Annual Real Losse: Sol.64 Annual Real Losse: Annual	<u>Average</u> length of customer service line:	? 10	0.0					
Total annual cost of operating water system: Image: Single Si	Average operating pressure:	? 3	80.0	psi				
Total annual cost of operating water system: Image: Single Si								
Curtomer retail unit cost (applied to Reparent Losses): Y s c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c				·				
PERFORMANCE INDICATORS Pinancial Indicators Non-revenue water as percent by colume of Water Supplied: 71.33 Non-revenue water as percent by colume of Water Supplied: 52.55 Annual cost of Apparent Losses: \$72,729 Annual cost of Real Losses: \$19,340 Operational Efficiency Indicators Real Losses per service connection per day: N/A Real Losses per service connection per day: 1,015.53 gallons/connection/day Real Losses per service connection per day: 1,015.53 gallons/connection/day Real Losses per service connection per day: 1,015.53 gallons/connection/day Real Losses per service connection per day: 1,015.53 gallons/connection/day Real Losses per service connection per day: 1,015.53 gallons/connection/day Real Losses per service connection per day: 1,015.53 gallons/connection/day *** UARL cannot be calculated as either average pressure, number of connecions or length of mains is too small: SEE UARL DEFINITION *** From Above, Real Losses = CURENT LINI (CARL/UARL): 18.53 *** only the most applicable of these two indicators will be calculated 18.53 **** Your SCORE IS: 58 out of 100 **** A weighted scale for the components of consumption and water los			1					
Pinancial Indicators Non-revenue water as percent by coat of operating system: 71.33 Non-revenue water as percent by coat of operating system: 52.55 Non-revenue water as percent by coat of operating system: 52.51 Non-revenue water as percent by coat of operating system: 52.51 Non-revenue water as percent by coat of operating system: 52.51 Non-revenue water as percent by coat of operating system: 52.51 Standal Coat of Real Losses: \$72,729 Intervenue water as percent operating system: \$19,340 Operational Efficiency Indicators Real Losses per service connection per day: N/A sallons/connection/day Real Losses per service connection per day: 1,015.53 gailons/mile/day Real Losses per service connection or length of main is too email: SEE UARL DEFINITION *** Image: Unavoidable Annual Real Losses (UARL): Not Valid *** UARL ceannot be calculated as either average pressure, number of connection or length of main is too email: SEE UARL DEFINITION *** Image: See on thory (Real Losses (UARL): Not Valid *** UARL ceannot be calculated as either average index (ILL) [CARL/CARL]: Image: See on the indicators will be calculated Image: See on the components of consumption and water loss is included in the calculation of the Water Audit Data Validity Score MERE AUDIT DA	Variable production cost (applied to Real Losses):	? 8	\$1,043.54	\$/Million gallons				
Pinancial Indicators Non-revenue water as percent by coat of operating system: 71.33 Non-revenue water as percent by coat of operating system: 52.55 Non-revenue water as percent by coat of operating system: 52.51 Non-revenue water as percent by coat of operating system: 52.51 Non-revenue water as percent by coat of operating system: 52.51 Non-revenue water as percent by coat of operating system: 52.51 Status \$19,340 Operational Efficiency Indicators Apparent Losses per service connection per day: 1,015.53 9ailons/connection/day Real Losses per service connection per day: 1,015.53 9ailons/connection/day/pei 1,015.51 9ailons/connection/day 1,015.53 9ailons/connection/day/pei 1,015.51 9ailons/connection/day/pei 1,015.51 9ailons/connection/day/pei 1,015.51 9ailons/connection/day/pei 1,015.51 9ailons/connection/day/pei 1,015.51 9ailons/connection/day/pei 1,010.***								
Non-revenue water as percent by volue of Water Supplied: 71.3% Non-revenue water as percent by cost of operating system: 52.5% Annual cost of Real Losses: \$19,340 Operational Efficiency Indicators Apparent Losses per service connection per day: 25.75 Real Losses per service connection per day: N/A gallons/connection/day Real Losses per service connection per day: 1,015.53 Real Losses per service connection per day: 1,015.53 Ballons/connection/day Real Losses per service connection per day: 1,015.53 Ballons/connection/day Real Losses per service connection per day: 1,015.53 Ballons/connection/day Real Losses per service connection per day: 1,015.53 Ballons/connection/day Real Losses per service connection per day: 1,015.53 Ballons/connection/day Real Losses per service connection per day: 1,015.53 Ballons/connection/day Real Losses (CARL): Not Valid *** UARL cannot be calculated as either average pressure, number of connecions or length of mains is too small: SEE UARL DEFINITION *** For Mabove, Real Losses = Current Annual Real Losses (CARL): 18.53 * only the most applicable of these two indicators will be calculated MATER AUDIT DATA VALID								
Annual cost of Apparent Losses: \$72,729 Annual cost of Real Losses: \$72,729 \$19,340 Operational Efficiency Indicators Apparent Losses per service connection per day: 25.75 gallons/connection/day Real Losses per service connection per day: N/A gallons/connection/day Real Losses per service connection per day: 1,015.53 gallons/mile/day Real Losses per service connection per day er psi pressure: gallons/connection/day/psi *** UARL cannot be calculated as either average pressure, number of connecions or length of mains is too small: SEE UARL DEFINITION *** From Above, Real Losses = Current Annual Real Losses (UARL): 18.53 Infrastructure Leakage Index (ILL) [CARL/UARL]: 18.53 Infrastructure Leakage Index (ILL) [CARL/UARL]: * only the most applicable of these two indicators will be calculated MATER AUDIT DATA VALIDITY SCORE: A weighted scale for the components of consumption and water loss is included in the calculation of the Water Audit Data Validity Score FICINITY AREAS FOR ATTENTION: Based on the information provided, audit accuracy can be improved by addressing the following components: 1: Volume from own sources 2: Master meter error adjustment For more information, click here to see the Grading Matrix worksheet		volume	of Water Supplied:	71.3%				
Annual cost of Real Losses 1919,340 Deperational Efficiency Indicators Apparent Losses per service connection per day: 25.75 gallons/connection/day Real Losses per service connection per day: N/A gallons/connection/day Real Losses per service connection per day: 1,015.53 gallons/mile/day Real Losses per service connection per day per psi pressure: gallons/connection/day/psi Providable Annual Real Losses (UARL): Not Valid *** UARL cannot be calculated as either average pressure, number of connecions or length of mains is too small: SEE UARL DEFINITION *** Prov Above, Real Losses = Current Annual Real Losses (CARL): 18.53 Thrastructure Leakage Index (ILL) [CARL/UARL]: 18.53 Thrastructure Leakage Index (ILL) [CARL/UARL]: ** Only the most applicable of these two indicators will be calculated *** YOUR SCORE IS: 58 out of 100 *** A weighted scale for the components of consumption and water loss is included in the calculation of the Water Audit Data Validity Score FICINITY AREAS FOR ATTENTION Based on the information provided, audit accuracy can be improved by addressing the following components: I: Volume from own sources CMAGE INFORMATION I								
Apparent Losses per service connection per day: 25.75 gallons/connection/day Real Losses per service connection per day: N/A gallons/connection/day Real Losses per service connection per day per psi pressure: 1,015.53 gallons/mile/day Real Losses per service connection per day per psi pressure: gallons/connection/day/psi Unavoidable Annual Real Losses (UARL): Not Valid *** UARL cannot be calculated as either average pressure, number of connecions or length of mains is too small: SEE UARL DEFINITION *** From Above, Real Losses = Current Annual Real Losses (CARL): 18.53 * only the most applicable of these two indicators will be calculated *** YOUR SCORE IS: 58 out of 100 *** A weighted scale for the components of consumption and water loss is included in the calculation of the Water Audit Data Validity Score FIORITY AREAS FOR ATTENTIONI Rased on the information provided, audit accuracy can be improved by addressing the following components: 1: Volume from own sources 2: Master meter error adjustment For more information, click here to see the Grading Matrix worksheet								
Real Losses per service connection per day*: N/A gallons/connection/day Real Losses per length of main per day*: 1,015.53 gallons/mile/day Real Losses per service connection per day per psi pressure: gallons/connection/day/psi Imavoidable Annual Real Losses (UARL): Not Valid Imavoidable Annual Real Losses (UARL): Not Valid Imavoidable Annual Real Losses (UARL): Not Valid Imavoidable Annual Real Losses (UARL): 18.53 Image: The mathematication per day per psi pressure: 18.53 Image: The most applicable of these two indicators will be calculated VATER AUDIT DATA VALIDITY SCORE: A weighted scale for the components of consumption and water loss is included in the calculation of the Water Audit Data Validity Score PIORITY AREAS FOR ATTENTION: Based on the information provided, audit accuracy can be improved by addressing the following components: 1: Volume from own sources 2: Master meter error adjustment For more information, click here to see the Grading Matrix worksheet	Operational Efficiency Indicators							
Real Losses per length of main per day*: 1,015.53 gallons/mile/day Real Losses per service connection per day per psi pressure: gallons/connection/day/psi Imavoidable Annual Real Losses (UARL): Not Valid Imavoidable Annual Real Losses (UARL): Imavoidable Annual Real Losses (UARL): Imavoidable Annual Real Losses (UARL): Imavoidable Annual Real Losses (UARL): Imavoidable Annual Real Losses (UARL): Imavoidable Annual Real Losses (CARL): Imavoidable Annual Real Losses (CARL): Imavoidable Annual Real Losses (CARL): Imavoidable Annual Real Losses (CARL): Imavoidable Annual Real Losses (CARL): Imavoidable Annual Real Losses (CARL): Imavoidable Annual Real Losses (CARL): Imavoidable Annual Real Losses (CARL): Imavoidable Annual Real Losses (CARL): Imavoidable Annual Real Losses (CARL): Imavoidable Annual Real Losses (CARL): Imavoidable Annual Real Losses (CARL): Imavoidable Annual Real Losses (CARL): Imavoidable Annual Real Losses (CARL): Imavoidable Annual Real Losses (CARL): Imavoidable Annual Real Losses (CARL): Imavoidable Annual Real Losses (CARL): Imavoidable Annual Real Losses (CARL): Imavoidable Annual Real Losses (CARL): Imavoidable Annual Real Losses (CARL): Imavoidable Annual Real Losses (CARL): Imavoidable Annual Real Losses (CARL): Imavoidable Annual Real Losses Imavoidable Annual Real Losses (CARL): Imavoidable Annual Real Losses Imavoidable Annual Real Losses (CARL): Imavoidable Annual Real Losses Imavoidable Annual Real Losses (CARL): Imavoida	Apparent Losses per s	ervice c	onnection per day:	25.75 gallons	/connection/day			
Real Losses per service connection per day per psi pressure: gallons/connection/day/psi 2 Unavoidable Annual Real Losses (UARL): Not Valid **** UARL cannot be calculated as either average pressure, number of connections or length of mains is too small: SEE UARL DEPINITION *** From Above, Real Losses = Current Annual Real Losses (CARL): 18.53 2 Infrastructure Leakage Index (ILI) [CARL/UARL]: * only the most applicable of these two indicators will be calculated WATER AUDIT DATA VALIDITY SCORE: A weighted scale for the components of consumption and water loss is included in the calculation of the Water Audit Data Validity Score PRIORITY AREAS FOR ATTENTIONI Based on the information provided, audit accuracy can be improved by addressing the following components: 1: Volume from own sources 2: Master meter error adjustment	Real Losses per se	rvice co	nnection per day*:	N/A gallons	/connection/day			
Inavoidable Annual Real Losses (UARL): Not Valid **** UARL cannot be calculated as either average pressure, number of connecions or length of mains is too small: SEE UARL DEFINITION *** From Above, Real Losses = Current Annual Real Losses (CARL): 18.53 * only the most applicable of these two indicators will be calculated WATER AUDIT DATA VALIDITY SCORE: **** YOUR SCORE IS: 58 out of 100 *** A weighted scale for the components of consumption and water loss is included in the calculation of the Water Audit Data Validity Score PRIORITY AREAS FOR ATTENTION: Based on the information provided, audit accuracy can be improved by addressing the following components: 1: Volume from own sources 2: Master meter error adjustment For more information, click here to see the Grading Matrix worksheet	Real Losses pe	r length	of main per day*:	1,015.53 gallons	/mile/day			
<pre>*** UARL cannot be calculated as either average pressure, number of connecions or length of mains is too small: SEE UARL DEFINITION *** From Above, Real Losses = Current Annual Real Losses (CARL):</pre>	Real Losses per service connection	per day	per psi pressure:	gallons	/connection/day/psi			
From Above, Real Losses = Current Annual Real Losses (CARL): 18.53 ? Infrastructure Leakage Index (ILI) [CARL/UARL]: * only the most applicable of these two indicators will be calculated WATER AUDIT DATA VALIDITY SCORE: * *** YOUR SCORE IS: 58 out of 100 *** A weighted scale for the components of consumption and water loss is included in the calculation of the Water Audit Data Validity Score PRIORITY AREAS FOR ATTENTION: Based on the information provided, audit accuracy can be improved by addressing the following components: 1: Volume from own sources 2: Master meter error adjustment	? Unavoidable	Annual R	eal Losses (UARL):	Not Valid				
7 Infrastructure Leakage Index (ILI) [CARL/UARL]: * only the most applicable of these two indicators will be calculated WATER AUDIT DATA VALIDITY SCORE: Example a scale for the components of consumption and water loss is included in the calculation of the Water Audit Data Validity Score PRIORITY AREAS FOR ATTENTION: Based on the information provided, audit accuracy can be improved by addressing the following components: 1: Volume from own sources 2: Master meter error adjustment For more information, click here to see the Grading Matrix worksheet					SEE UARL DEFINITION ***			
<pre>* only the most applicable of these two indicators will be calculated WATER AUDIT DATA VALIDITY SCORE:</pre>								
WATER AUDIT DATA VALIDITY SCORE: *** YOUR SCORE IS: 58 out of 100 *** A weighted scale for the components of consumption and water loss is included in the calculation of the Water Audit Data Validity Score PRIORITY AREAS FOR ATTENTION: Based on the information provided, audit accuracy can be improved by addressing the following components: 1: Volume from own sources 2: Master meter error adjustment For more information, click here to see the Grading Matrix worksheet								
*** YOUR SCORE IS: 58 out of 100 *** A weighted scale for the components of consumption and water loss is included in the calculation of the Water Audit Data Validity Score PRIORITY AREAS FOR ATTENTION: Based on the information provided, audit accuracy can be improved by addressing the following components: 1: Volume from own sources 2: Master meter error adjustment For more information, click here to see the Grading Matrix worksheet								
A weighted scale for the components of consumption and water loss is included in the calculation of the Water Audit Data Validity Score PRIORITY AREAS FOR ATTENTION: Based on the information provided, audit accuracy can be improved by addressing the following components: 1: Volume from own sources 2: Master meter error adjustment For more information, click here to see the Grading Matrix worksheet								
PRIORITY AREAS FOR ATTENTION: Based on the information provided, audit accuracy can be improved by addressing the following components: 1: Volume from own sources 2: Master meter error adjustment For more information, click here to see the Grading Matrix worksheet	*** YOUR SCORE IS: 58 out of 100 ***							
Based on the information provided, audit accuracy can be improved by addressing the following components: 1: Volume from own sources 2: Master meter error adjustment For more information, click here to see the Grading Matrix worksheet	A weighted scale for the components of consumption and water loss is included in the calculation of the Water Audit Data Validity Score							
1: Volume from own sources 2: Master meter error adjustment For more information, click here to see the Grading Matrix worksheet	PRIORITY AREAS FOR ATTENTION:							
2: Master meter error adjustment	Based on the information provided, audit accuracy ca	an be imp	proved by addressin	g the following components:				
	1: Volume from own sources							
3: Billed metered			For more information,	click here to see the Grading Matr	ix worksheet			
	3: Billed metered	1						

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

June 30, 2012

Roy Ivey

Barbara Darby

Tony Ortiz

See the accompanying independent accountants' audit report.

INTERNAL CONTROL

AND

COMPLIANCE



INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Board of Commissioners East Sevier County Utility District Sevierville, Tennessee

We have audited the financial statements of East Sevier County Utility District as of and for the year ended June 30, 2012 and have issued our report thereon dated August 23, 2013. In our report, our opinion was qualified because certain plant in service assets had been omitted from the statement of net assets. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management of East Sevier County Utility District is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting. In planning and performing our audit, we considered East Sevier County Utility District's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of East Sevier County Utility District's internal control over financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of East Sevier County Utility District's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of East Sevier County Utility District's internal control over financial reporting.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses and therefore, there can be no assurances that all deficiencies, significant deficiencies, or material weaknesses have been identified. However, as described in the accompanying schedule of finding, recommendation and management response, we identified a deficiency in internal control over financial reporting described as item 2012-A-1 that we consider to be a material weakness.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of East Sevier County Utility District's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. We consider the deficiency described in the accompanying schedule of finding, recommendation and management response to be a material weakness.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether East Sevier County Utility District's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

We noted certain matters that we reported to the management of East Sevier County Utility District in a separate letter dated August 23, 2013.

East Sevier County Utility District's response to the finding identified in our audit is described in the accompanying schedule of finding, recommendation and management response. We did not audit East Sevier County Utility District's response and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management and government regulatory agencies and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Mitchell Emert + Hill

August 23, 2013

FINDING, RECOMMENDATION AND MANAGEMENT RESPONSE

Year Ended June 30, 2012

Finding Number 2012-A-1 - Plant in Service Records

Finding: East Sevier County Utility District (the District) did not have adequate accounting records relating to its plant in service. Specifically, it did not keep copies of contracts with homeowner associations for the operation of their sewer system. As a result, management could not determine if ownership of the sewer systems was transferred to the District or if the homeowner associations retained ownership of the assets. If the homeowner associations gave the sewer systems to the District, the fair value of the systems at the date of donation should have been recorded as assets and depreciated over the estimated useful life of the systems. The accompanying financial statements do not included any assets and related depreciation expense related to these sewer systems.

Recommendation: Management should obtain copies of all contracts with homeowner associations and determine if the underlying sewer system was transferred to the District. If so, they should determine the fair value at the date of donation and record the asset (and related depreciation) in the general ledger.

Management's Response: We concur. We are searching for the contracts and will contact the associations if we are unable to locate them. If we determine that the plant in service should be recorded as an asset of the District, we will determine the value and record the asset and related depreciation.